

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box



PTO/SB/05 (4/98)  
Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032  
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

# UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. 042390.P7331  
First Inventor or Application Identifier Tinku Acharya  
Title METHOD OF INTERPOLATING COLOR PIXEL SIGNALS FROM A  
Express Mail Label No. EL414968736US

## APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents

### ADDRESS TO:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box Patent Application  
Washington, DC 20231

1. ☒ Fee Transmittal Form  
(Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification [Total Pages 19]  
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
  - Descriptive title of the Invention
  - Cross References to Related Applications
  - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
  - Reference to Microfiche Appendix
  - Background of the Invention
  - Brief Summary of the Invention
  - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
  - Detailed Description
  - Claim(s)
  - Abstract of the Disclosure
3. ☒ Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 1]
4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 3]
  - a. ☐ Newly executed (original copy)
  - b. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d))  
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)
  - i. ☐ DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)  
Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 CFR §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

5. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission  
(if applicable, all necessary)
  - a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
  - b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
  - c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

## ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

7. ☐ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
8. ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement ☐ Power of Attorney  
(when there is an assignee)
9. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
10. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO - 1449 ☐ Copies of IDS Citations
11. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
12. ☐ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)  
(Should be specifically itemized)
13. ☐ \*Small Entity Statement(s) ☐ Statement filed in prior application, Status still proper and desired
14. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  
(if foreign priority is claimed)
15. ☒ Other: Certificate of mailing w/copy of postcard on bottom (1 page)

\*NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).

## 16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior application Information: Examiner \_\_\_\_\_

Group/Art Unit: \_\_\_\_\_

For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

## 17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☐ Customer Number of Bar Code Label

(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

or ☒ Correspondence address below

Name

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Address

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor

City

Los Angeles

State

California

Zip Code

90025

Country

U.S.A.

Telephone

(503) 684-6200

Fax

(503) 684-3245

Name (Print/Type)

Aloysius T.C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432

Signature

Date

10/1/99

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

042390.P7331

APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

FOR

METHOD OF INTERPOLATING COLOR PIXEL SIGNALS  
FROM A SUBSAMPLED COLOR IMAGE

Inventor(s): Tinku Acharya  
Ajay K. Ray  
A.K.V. Subba Rao

Prepared by: Howard Skaist,  
Senior IP Attorney

**intel**®

Intel Corporation  
2111 N. E. 25th Avenue; JF3-147  
Hillsboro, OR 97124  
Phone: (503) 264-0967  
Facsimile: (503) 264-1729

"Express Mail" label number EL414968736US

## METHOD OF INTERPOLATING COLOR PIXEL SIGNALS FROM A SUBSAMPLE COLOR IMAGE

### BACKGROUND

#### Field

This disclosure is related to interpolating pixel signal values for an image and, in particular, interpolating color pixel signal values from a subsampled color image.

#### Background Information

As is well-known, in a variety of circumstances, it is desirable to perform color interpolation. For example, for a camera or other imager that has the capability of creating a color image, typically it is too expensive to have the capability to capture three independent color pixel signal values for each pixel location of an image. Therefore, more typically, a subsampled color image is captured and then the missing color pixel signal values are computed using techniques of color interpolation. Most of the existing simple color interpolation techniques typically do not produce high-quality color images. Therefore, a need continues to exist for color interpolation capable of producing quality color images from a subsampled color image.

#### SUMMARY

Briefly, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention, a method of interpolating color

pixel signals from a subsampled color image includes the following. For a particular pixel location in a subsampled color image, relative changes in a particular color pixel signal value are compared for two mutually orthogonal directions across the particular pixel location using pixel signal values immediately adjacent to the particular pixel location. A color signal value for that particular pixel location for a color plane other than the color plane of the pixel signal value in the subsampled color image of that location is computed by relatively weigh the pixel signal values, the relative weights depending, at least in part, on the relative change of pixel signal value level in a particular direction.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The subject matter regarded as the invention is particularly pointed out and distinctly claimed in the concluding portion of the specification. The invention, however, both as to organization and method of operation, together with objects, features, and advantages thereof, may best be understood by reference to the following detailed description when read with the accompanying drawings in which:

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram illustrating a subsampled color image, such as a Bayer pattern color mage;

FIG. 2 is a schematic diagram illustrating a portion of a subsampled color image in which the color pixel signal values are to be employed by an embodiment of a method in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 3 is a schematic diagram illustrating a portion of a subsampled color image in which the

color pixel signal values are to be employed by an embodiment of a method in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a schematic diagram illustrating a portion of a subsampled color image in which the color pixel signal values are to be employed by an embodiment of a method in accordance with the present invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In the following detailed description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the invention. However, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known methods, procedures, components and circuits have not been described in detail so as not to obscure the present invention.

As previously indicated, in a variety of circumstances it may be desirable to have a capability to interpolate color pixel signals from a subsampled color image in order to recover the missing color components in a particular pixel location. Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram illustrating a Bayer pattern color image, which is one example of a subsampled color image, although the invention is not limited in scope in this respect. For example, many other well-known subsampled color images may be employed as will provide satisfactory results. As previously indicated, unfortunately, many color interpolation techniques typically do not produce high-quality color images because the techniques

employed typically do not take into account, or at least reasonably correctly take into account, how the human eye perceives color. For example, a typical color interpolation technique may include averaging the pixels adjacent to a particular pixel location in which it was desired to interpolate the color signal value for those colors not included in that pixel location of a subsampled color image. However, typically, simply employing a pure average does not produce high quality results because it blurs the edge information and produces color aliasing problem, for example.

In this particular embodiment of a method of interpolating color pixel signals from a subsampled color image in accordance with the present invention, the color interpolation is accomplished by comparing relative changes in mutually orthogonal directions across the particular pixel location using pixel signal values immediately adjacent to that particular pixel location. It is assumed that if a significant change in a particular color pixel signal value occurs, that change is not as representative of the signal values at the particular pixel location as are the signal values from the mutually orthogonal direction. For example, it may be that in the image, an edge is being encountered. Therefore, in computing the color signal value for that particular pixel location, a direction that indicates less change in signal value is weighed more heavily, in this particular embodiment. It is believed that this is more representative of how the human eye perceives color because the human vision system is more responsive to the relative change of color in the neighboring pixels than to the color information in the pixel itself. In addition, as explained in more detail hereinafter, in computing some pixel signal

values for particular colors, natural logarithms are employed because it is believed that natural logarithms capture how the human eye perceives relative color.

Fig. 2 is schematic diagram illustrating a three pixel-by-three pixel portion of the Bayer pattern of Fig. 1. As illustrated, the center pixel location comprises a red pixel signal value. Therefore, for this particular pixel location, it is desired to interpolate the missing color signal values, blue and green, for that pixel location from the surrounding pixel signal values. For example, a green pixel signal value may be estimated as follows. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the immediately adjacent pixel locations in the horizontal and vertical directions comprise green pixel signal values. Therefore, these shall be employed to estimate the green pixel signal value for this particular pixel location. First, the relative change in the green pixel signal values for the horizontal direction and the vertical direction across this particular pixel location is computed and compared. This is accomplished using the following equations.

$$Chor = G_{m,n+1} - G_{m,n-1};$$

$$Cver = G_{m+1,n} - G_{m-1,n};$$

If the relative change in the vertical direction is greater than the relative change in the horizontal direction, the relative change being relative to the magnitude of the values computed above, then the values in the horizontal direction, that is, in this embodiment, the green pixel signal values that are the immediately adjacent pixel signal values in the horizontal direction, are weighed more heavily. In

this embodiment, the weight assigned to horizontal green pixel values have been chosen, based on experimentation, as 0.5, although the invention is not limited in scope in this respect. It is noted that other weights may be employed and provide satisfactory results. At the same time the weights assigned to vertical neighboring green pixel signal values have been chosen as 0.1, although the invention is not limited in scope in this respect. On the basis of the above discussion the missing green pixel signal values in this particular pixel location is estimated as

$$G_{m,n} = [ 0.5 * (G_{m,n-1} + G_{m,n+1}) + 0.1 * (G_{m-1,n} + G_{m+1,n}) ] / (0.5 + 0.5 + 0.1 + 0.1); \text{ or}$$

$$G_{m,n} = 0.41667 * (G_{m,n-1} + G_{m,n+1}) + 0.08333 * (G_{m-1,n} + G_{m+1,n});$$

However, if the relative change in the horizontal direction is greater than the relative change in the vertical direction, in terms of pixel signal level for the green pixel signal values, then a reverse approach is employed. More particularly, the vertical green pixel signal values that are immediately adjacent to the red pixel signal value, in this particular embodiment, are weighed more heavily. In particular, the green pixel signal value in this particular pixel signal location is estimated as follows.

$$G_{m,n} = 0.08333 * (G_{m,n-1} + G_{m,n+1}) + 0.41667 * (G_{m-1,n} + G_{m+1,n});$$

It is noted that the form of this equation is similar to the form above, except that the vertical and horizontal pixel signal values that are immediately adjacent to the red pixel signal value have been interchanged. Finally, if the two relative changes are equal, or substantially equal, then a simple average of the four green pixel signal values that are immediately adjacent to the red pixel signal



value are averaged, for this embodiment, in accordance with the following equation.

$$G_{m,n} = 0.25 * (G_{m,n-1} + G_{m,n+1} + G_{m-1,n} + G_{m+1,n});$$

Therefore, in order to compute the signal value for the green color plane, where the particular pixel location has a pixel signal value in the red color plane, the pixel signal values immediately adjacent to that pixel location in the green color plane are compared. As shall be described in more detail below, it is not always the case that the color plane being computed corresponds to the particular color of the pixel signal values that are compared, although it is true in this embodiment for the situation just described.

It is noted that where the particular pixel location has a blue pixel signal value in the Bayer pattern shown in Fig. 1, the structure of a three pixel-by-three pixel portion of the sub-sampled color image is similar in arrangement to that illustrated in Fig. 2, except the center pixel contains the blue information instead of red. Therefore, for a pixel location containing the blue signal value, the missing green pixel signal value for that pixel location may be estimated by using the same technique previously described.

Fig. 3 is schematic diagram of another portion of the Bayer pattern of Fig. 1. This three pixel-by-three pixel portion is essentially the same as that shown in Fig. 2, except that pixel signal values in the respective corners of the three-by-three array have also been provided. For this portion, it is

desirable to interpolate a blue pixel signal value for the location in which a red pixel signal value is provided. However, as illustrated in Fig. 3, blue pixel signal values immediately adjacent to the red pixel signal value are provided in the corners. Therefore, in contrast with the approach just described, the two mutually orthogonal directions to be compared comprise the main diagonal and the secondary diagonal of the array of pixels. However, instead of comparing the relative change in pixel signal value level for the blue pixel signal values directly, the relative change in hue across these two diagonals shall be compared. Hue, in this context, for red and blue, refers to the relative signal value of a blue or red pixel signal value to a green pixel signal value. The reason this approach is employed is because it is believed that hue information more accurately reflects how the human eye perceives changes in color. Furthermore, a comparison for red and blue pixel signal values is made with green pixel signal values because, in RGB color space format, the green plane includes a relatively larger amount of luminosity signal information compared to the red and blue and hue of the red or the blue is estimated by comparing with the green value. Therefore, hue for the four blue pixel signal values is computed by comparing the blue pixel signal value in that location with the green pixel signal value in that location. It should be noted that the missing green pixel value has already been recovered via the previous technique. Therefore, the following equations apply.

$$\text{hue\_nw} = B_{m-1,n-1} - G_{m-1,n-1};$$

$$\text{hue\_sw} = B_{m+1,n+1} - G_{m+1,n+1};$$

$$\text{hue\_ne} = B_{m-1,n+1} - G_{m-1,n+1};$$

$$\text{hue\_se} = B_{m+1,n+1} - G_{m+1,n+1};$$

Then, the hue along the main diagonal and the secondary diagonal are computed as follows.

$$\text{hue\_md} = \text{hue\_nw} - \text{hue\_se};$$

$$\text{hue\_sd} = \text{hue\_ne} - \text{hue\_sw};$$

As discussed above, if the hue in any particular direction changes more significantly, then the hue in the orthogonal direction is employed to estimate the blue pixel signal value in a particular pixel location. More accurately, the hue in that direction in which there is less change in hue is weighed more heavily than in the direction in which change in hue is more. This strategy is employed to estimate the hue in that particular pixel location. However, from the hue, the blue pixel signal value may be estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \log(B_{m,n}) = & \log(G_{m,n}) + 0.41667 * (\log(B_{m-1,n-1} / G_{m-1,n-1}) + \log(B_{m+1,n+1} / G_{m+1,n+1})) + \\ & 0.08333 * (\log(B_{m-1,n+1} / G_{m-1,n+1}) + \log(B_{m+1,n-1} / G_{m+1,n-1})); \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, a similar equation is employed for the opposing diagonal, except that the signal values along the diagonals are interchanged, providing the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \log(B_{m,n}) = & \log(G_{m,n}) + 0.08333 * (\log(B_{m-1,n-1} / G_{m-1,n-1}) + \log(B_{m+1,n+1} / G_{m+1,n+1})) + \\ & 0.41667 * (\log(B_{m-1,n+1} / G_{m-1,n+1}) + \log(B_{m+1,n-1} / G_{m+1,n-1})); \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, as mentioned previously, the natural logarithm is employed in this particular embodiment because it is believed that it reflects or captures how the human eye perceives relative

color. It is noted that interpolation of a red pixel signal value for a particular pixel location having a blue pixel signal value may be obtained using a similar approach except that in the above equation the blue pixel signal values are interchanged with red pixel signal values. This is because, as illustrated in Fig. 1, where the particular pixel location has a blue pixel signal value, the pixel signal values in the four corners are red pixel signal values, therefore, providing the same structure or array layout as illustrated in Fig. 3.

Finally, a blue pixel signal value may be interpolated where a green pixel signal value is provided in the particular pixel location of the subsampled image, as illustrated in Fig. 4, for example. The approach is similar to that described in connection to that described with Fig. 3, except that, instead of employing the pixel signal values along the diagonals, the horizontal and vertical pixel signal values are employed using either horizontal or vertical pixel signal value directly available from the subsampled color image. The other pixel value in the orthogonal direction which is used for interpolation is obtained by employing the previous technique. Likewise, the blue pixel signal values in the horizontal locations immediately adjacent the green pixel signal values in Fig. 4 are interpolated using the approach just described above in connection with Fig. 3. Therefore, the following equations are employed.

$$\text{hue}_n = B_{m-1,n} - G_{m-1,n}$$

$$\text{hue\_e} = B_{m,n+1} - G_{m,n+1}.$$

$$\text{hue\_w} = B_{m,n-1} - G_{m,n-1}.$$

$$\text{hue\_s} = B_{m+1,n} - G_{m+1,n}.$$

$$\text{hhor} = \text{hue\_e} - \text{hue\_w}.$$

$$\text{hver} = \text{hue\_n} - \text{hue\_s}.$$

if ( | hhor | < | hver | ) then

$$\begin{aligned} \log(B_{m,n}) = & \log(G_{m,n}) + 0.41667 * (\log(B_{m,n-1}/G_{m,n-1}) + \log(B_{m,n+1}/G_{m,n+1})) + \\ & 0.08333 * (\log(B_{m-1,n}/G_{m-1,n}) + \log(B_{m+1,n}/G_{m+1,n})). \end{aligned}$$

else

$$\begin{aligned} \log(B_{m,n}) = & \log(G_{m,n}) + 0.08333 * (\log(B_{m,n-1}/G_{m,n-1}) + \log(B_{m,n+1}/G_{m,n+1})) + \\ & 0.41667 * (\log(B_{m-1,n}/G_{m-1,n}) + \log(B_{m+1,n}/G_{m+1,n})). \end{aligned}$$

endif

A similar approach may be employed to interpolate the red pixel signal value where the particular pixel

location of the subsampled image includes a green pixel signal value, except that in the equations

provided above, the blue pixel signal values are replaced by red pixel signal values, as follows

$$\text{hue\_n} = R_{m-1,n} - G_{m-1,n}.$$

$$\text{hue\_e} = R_{m,n+1} - G_{m,n+1}.$$

$$\text{hue\_w} = R_{m,n-1} - G_{m,n-1}.$$

$$\text{hue\_s} = R_{m+1,n} - G_{m+1,n}.$$

hhor = hue\_e - hue\_w.

hver = hue\_n - hue\_s.

if ( | hhor| < |hver| ) then

$$\log(R_{m,n}) = \log(G_{m,n}) + 0.41667 * (\log(R_{m,n-1}/G_{m,n-1}) + \log(R_{m,n+1}/G_{m,n+1})) +$$

$$0.08333 * (\log(R_{m-1,n}/G_{m-1,n}) + \log(R_{m+1,n}/G_{m+1,n})).$$

else

$$\log(R_{m,n}) = \log(G_{m,n}) + 0.08333 * (\log(R_{m,n-1}/G_{m,n-1}) + \log(R_{m,n+1}/G_{m,n+1})) +$$

$$0.41667 * (\log(R_{m-1,n}/G_{m-1,n}) + \log(R_{m+1,n}/G_{m+1,n}))$$

endif

It will, of course, be appreciated that the invention is not restricted in scope to a particular embodiment or implementation. For example, the foregoing approach, as one example of an approach in accordance with the invention, may be implemented in hardware, in software, in firmware, and any combination thereof. Again, intended merely as examples that do not limit the scope of the invention, an embodiment may comprise an imager including hardware, such as integrated circuit chips, that implement the foregoing. Alternatively, the imager may be coupled to a computing platform that includes software capable of implementing the foregoing. Likewise, a digital camera coupled to a desktop personal computer, for example, may implement an embodiment. Furthermore, these implementations in hardware and software may, of course, deviate from the foregoing and still be within the scope of the present invention.

For embodiments that are at least partially implemented in software, such as, for example, the previously described embodiment, such software may reside on a storage medium, such as, for example, random access memory, a CD ROM, a floppy disk, or a hard drive, such that instructions are stored, which, when executed, such as by a computing platform, such as a PC or other computing device, the system is capable of executing the instructions to result in the interpolation of color pixel signal values from a subsampled image. Likewise, such software may reside in firmware also, such as in flash memory or EEPROM, for example.

While certain features of the invention have been illustrated and described herein, many modifications, substitutions, changes and equivalents will now occur to those skilled in the art. It is, therefore, to be understood that the appended claims are intended to cover all such modifications and changes as fall within the true spirit of the invention.

Claims:

1. A method of interpolating color pixel signals from a subsampled color image comprising:  
for a particular pixel location in the subsampled image, comparing relative changes in a particular color pixel signal level for two mutually orthogonal directions across said particular pixel location using pixel signal values immediately adjacent to said particular pixel location; and  
computing a color signal value for that particular pixel location for a color plane other than the color plane of the pixel signal value in the subsampled color image at that location by relatively weighing the pixel signal values, the relative weights depending, at least in part, on the relative change of pixel signal value level in a particular direction.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein computing a color signal includes relatively weighing the pixel signal values by relatively weighing more heavily the pixel signal values associated with the direction increasing less relatively in pixel signal value level for the particular pixel location.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the subsampled image comprises an image in RGB color space format.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the subsampled color image comprises a Bayer pattern.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein the color plane of the pixel signal value at said particular pixel location comprises the R color plane;  
the two mutually orthogonal directions comprising the horizontal and vertical directions;  
the particular color plane for the color signal value being computed comprises the G plane; and  
the particular color for the pixel signal value levels being compared comprises G.
6. The method of claim 4, wherein the color plane of the pixel signal value at said particular pixel location comprises the B color plane;  
the two mutually orthogonal directions comprising the horizontal and vertical directions;  
the particular color plane for the color signal value being computed comprises the G plane; and  
the particular color for the pixel signal value levels being compared comprises G.
7. The method of claim 4, wherein the color plane of the pixel signal value at said particular pixel location comprises the R color plane;  
the two mutually orthogonal direction comprising the main diagonal and the secondary diagonal



directions;

the particular color plane for the color signal value being computed comprises the B plane; and  
the particular color for the pixel signal value level being compared comprises B hue.

8. The method of claim 7, wherein the interpolation of a blue pixel signal value at a green pixel location is based at least in part on computed B pixel signal value levels for red pixel locations adjacent said green pixel location and also on existing blue pixel locations adjacent said green pixel location in a mutually orthogonal direction to said adjacent red pixel locations in the subsampled color image.

9. The method of claim 4, wherein the color plane of the pixel signal value at said particular pixel location comprises the B color plane;

the two mutually orthogonal directions comprising the main diagonal and the secondary diagonal directions;

the particular color plane for the color signal value being computed comprises the R plane; and  
the particular color for the pixel signal value level being compared comprises R hue.

10. The method of claim 9, wherein the interpolation of a red pixel signal value at a green pixel location is based at least in part on computed R pixel signal value levels for blue pixel locations adjacent said green pixel location and also on existing red pixel locations adjacent said green pixel location in a mutually orthogonal direction to said adjacent blue pixel locations in the subsampled color image.

11. The method of claim 4, wherein the color plane of the pixel signal value at said particular pixel location comprises the G color plane;

the two mutually orthogonal direction comprising the horizontal and the vertical directions;  
the particular color plane for the color signal value being computed comprises the B plane; and  
the particular color for the pixel signal value level being compared comprises B hue.

12. The method of claim 4, wherein the color plane of the pixel signal value at said particular pixel location comprises the G color plane;

the two mutually orthogonal direction comprising the horizontal and the vertical directions;  
the particular color plane for the color signal value being computed comprises the R plane; and  
the particular color for the pixel signal value level being compared comprises R hue.

13. An article comprising:

a storage medium, having stored thereon instructions, which, when executed by a system capable of executing the instructions, result in interpolating color pixel signal values from a subsampled image by:

for a particular pixel location in the subsampled image, comparing relative changes in a particular color pixel signal level for two mutually orthogonal directions across said particular pixel location using pixel signal values immediately adjacent to said particular pixel location; and

computing a color signal value for that particular pixel location for a color plane other than the color plane of the pixel signal value in the subsampled color image at that location by relatively weighing the pixel signal values, the relative weights depending, at least in part, on the relative change of pixel signal value level in a particular direction.

14. The article of claim 13, wherein the instructions, when executed, further result in interpolating color pixel signal values from a subsampled image in RGB color space format.

15. The article of claim 13, wherein the instructions, when executed, further result in interpolating color pixel signal values from a Bayer pattern image.

16. An integrated circuit comprising;  
electronic circuitry adapted to process pixel signal values;  
wherein said electronic circuitry is further adapted to interpolate color pixel signal values from a subsampled image by:

for a particular pixel location in the subsampled image, comparing relative changes in a particular color pixel signal level for two mutually orthogonal directions across said particular pixel location using pixel signal values immediately adjacent to said particular pixel location; and

computing a color signal value for that particular pixel location for a color plane other than the color plane of the pixel signal value in the subsampled color image at that location by relatively weighing the pixel signal values, the relative weights depending, at least in part, on the relative change of pixel signal value level in a particular direction.

17. The integrated circuit of claim 16, wherein said electronic circuitry is further adapted to interpolate color pixel signal values from a subsampled image in RGB color space format.

18. The integrated circuit of claim 16, wherein said electronic circuitry is further adapted to interpolate color pixel signal values from a Bayer pattern image.

19. A system comprising:



Abstract

Briefly, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention, a method of interpolating color pixel signals from a subsampled color image includes the following. For a particular pixel location in a subsampled color image, relative changes in a particular color pixel signal value are compared for two mutually orthogonal directions across the particular pixel location using pixel signal values immediately adjacent to the particular pixel location. A color signal value for that particular pixel location for a color plane other than the color plane of the pixel signal value in the subsampled color image of that location is computed by relatively weigh the pixel signal values, the relative weights depending, at least in part, on the relative change of pixel signal value level in a particular direction.

R	G1	R	G1	R	G1	R	G1	...	...
G2	B	G2	B	G2	B	G2	B	...	...
R	G1	R	G1	R	G1	R	G1	...	
G2	B	G2	B	G2	B	G2	B	...	
R	G1	R	G1	R	G1	R	G1	...	
G2	B	G2	B	G2	B	G2	B	...	
R	G1	R	G1	R	G1	R	G1	...	
G2	B	G2	B	G2	B	G2	B	...	
:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	...	

Figure 1: Bayer Pattern

	$G_{m-1,n}$	
$G_{m,n-1}$	$R_{m,n}$	$G_{m,n+1}$
	$G_{m+1,n}$	

Figure 2

$B_{m-1,n-1}$	$G_{m-1,n}$	$B_{m-1,n+1}$
$G_{m,n-1}$	$R_{m,n}$	$G_{m,n+1}$
$B_{m+1,n-1}$	$G_{m+1,n}$	$R_{m+1,n+1}$

Figure 3

	$B_{m-1,n}$	
$R_{m,n-1}$	$G_{m,n}$	$R_{m,n+1}$
	$B_{m+1,n}$	

Figure 4

**DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION  
(FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

**METHOD OF INTERPOLATING COLOR PIXEL SIGNALS FROM A SUBSAMPLED  
COLOR IMAGE**

the specification of which

☒ is attached hereto.  
☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as \_\_\_\_\_  
 United States Application Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 or PCT International Application Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_  
 (if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s):

APPLICATION NUMBER	COUNTRY (OR INDICATE IF PCT)	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 37 USC 119
			<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	STATUS (ISSUED, PENDING, ABANDONED)

I hereby appoint BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, a firm including: William E. Alford, 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, 42,261; Amy M. Armstrong, 42,265; Aloysius T. AuYeung, 35,432; W. Thomas Babbitt, 39,591; Carol F. Barry, 41,600; Jordan M. Becker, 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, 39,926; Ronald C. Card, P 44,587; Thomas M. Coester, 39,637; Michael A. DeSanctis, 39,957; Daniel M. DeVos, 37,813; Robert A. Diehl, 40,992; Matthew C. Fagan, 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, 41,402; James Y. Go, 40,621; James A. Henry, 41,064; Willmore F. Holbrow III, 41,845; George W Hoover, 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, 36,172; William W. Kidd, 31,772; Erica W. Kuo, 42,775; Michael J. Mallie, 36,591; Paul A. Mendonsa, 42,879; Darren J. Milliken, 42,004; Thinh V. Nguyen, 42,034; Dennis A. Nicholls, 42,036; Kimberley G. Nobles, 38,255; Lisa A. Norris, P 44,976; Daniel E. Ovanezian, 41,236; Babak Redjaian, 42,096; James H. Salter, 35,668; William W. Schaal, 39,018; James C. Scheller, 31,195; Jeffrey S. Smith, 39,377; Maria E. Sobrino, 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, 25,129; George G. C. Tseng, 41,355; Joseph A. Twarowski, 42,191; Lester J. Vincent, 31,460; John P. Ward, 40,216; Charles T. J. Weigell, 43,398; Kirk D. Williams, 42,229; James M. Wu, P 45,241; Steven D. Yates, 42,242; Ben J. Yorks, 33,609; Norman Zafman, 26,250; my attorneys; and Amy M. Armstrong, Reg. No. P42,265; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. P40,992; and Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (714) 557-3800, and Joseph R. Bond, Reg. No. 36,458; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; Sean Fitzgerald, Reg. No. 32,027; Naomi Obinata, Reg. No. 39,320; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; Steven C. Stewart, Reg. No. 33,555; Raymond J. Werner, Reg. No. 34,752; and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435; my patent attorneys, of INTEL CORPORATION with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send correspondence to Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &  
(Name of Attorney or Agent)  
ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025 and direct telephone  
calls to Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008, (503) 684-6200.  
(Name of Attorney or Agent)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

**Full Name of Sole/First Inventor** (given name, family name)

**Tinku Acharya**

Inventor's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_  
(City, State)

Citizenship \_\_\_\_\_  
(Country)

P. O. Address \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor** (given name, family name)

**Ajay K. Ray**

Inventor's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_  
(City, State)

Citizenship \_\_\_\_\_  
(Country)

P. O. Address \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Full Name of Third/Joint Inventor** (given name, family name)

**A.K.V. Subba Rao**

Inventor's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_  
(City, State)

Citizenship \_\_\_\_\_  
(Country)

P. O. Address \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor** (given name, family name)

Inventor's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence \_\_\_\_\_  
(City, State)

Citizenship \_\_\_\_\_  
(Country)

P. O. Address \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_